# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GEORGE A. JACKSON, et al.,	)
Plaintiffs,	) )
v.	) C. A. No. 05-823-***
STANLEY TAYLOR, et al.,	) JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
Defendants.	)

# DEFENDANTS' RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO BE PRESENT AT PLAINTIFFS' DEPOSITIONS

Defendants hereby oppose Plaintiff's *Motion for Leave to be Present at Plaintiffs'*Depositions [D.I. 239], and in support thereof, state as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff George Jackson ("Mr. Jackson") is an incarcerated individual at Sussex Correctional Institution ("SCI") in Georgetown, Delaware. He has filed a Motion requesting leave to be present at any deposition that the Defendants take of the other 25 Plaintiffs in this civil rights action. The pending Motion is signed by Mr. Jackson only.
- 2. In previous Court filings, Mr. Jackson has described himself as the representative of the plaintiffs' class. It appears that Mr. Jackson believes he represents all Plaintiffs, as he consistently fails to serve the other 25 Plaintiffs (who have scant or non-existent participation in this matter) with copies of his court filings. However, this matter is not a class action and, as a non-attorney, Mr. Jackson cannot represent the Plaintiffs. It is unclear whether Mr. Jackson's motion asserts the right to attend depositions for himself alone, or for the right of any of the 26 Plaintiffs to attend a deposition, if they choose to do so in the future.

- 3. In the recent past, Mr. Jackson boldly asked this Court for an order directing Defendants to arrange for the depositions of the Plaintiffs at Defendants' expense. *D.I.221* He wanted the Plaintiffs to be deposed "for use on, and preparation for, trial, matters with respect to issues file [sic] in [Plaintiffs'] complaint." *D.I.221 at* \$\mathscr{9}\$5. He explained that the Plaintiffs' depositions would be used by Plaintiffs "as evidence in the action." *Id, final paragraph*. These requests have been denied by the Court. *D.I.185*, *D.I.240*
- 4. Mr. Jackson's current motion appears to be another "back-door attempt to circumvent the court's prior decision" [D.I.240] rejecting Mr. Jackson's notion that Defendants should arrange or pay for depositions at the Plaintiffs' behest. Given Mr. Jackson's prior motions, he may be motivated to attend these depositions so he can ask questions of the deponents, make objections on behalf of the Plaintiffs, and otherwise build his case for trial. This would constitute the unauthorized practice of law.
- 5. Moreover, for the safety and security of those attending the depositions and to prevent the possibility of escape, Mr. Jackson and other named plaintiffs should not attend any depositions to which they are not deponents.
- 6. "An incarcerated plaintiff has no constitutional right to attend the depositions taken in his civil action." *In re Wilkinson*, 137 F.3d 911, 914 (6<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1998). "In order to attend a deposition, then, the inmate has the burden of producing evidence that will convince the district court that his attendance at this particular deposition is required. This includes a demonstration that his physical presence will contribute significantly to the fair adjudication of his claim. Furthermore, when determining

whether the prisoner has made a specialized showing of need, the courts must consider the interests of prison officials as well as the inmate." *Id.* at 915.

- 7. First, Mr. Jackson has failed to demonstrate how his presence at these depositions is either required or will contribute significantly to the fair adjudication of his claim. Here, the deponents are his fellow Plaintiffs, who will presumably not challenge Mr. Jackson's version of events alleged in his complaint.
- 8. Second, Mr. Jackson's request presents serious security concerns. See attached Exhibit 1, Affidavit of SCI Warden Mike Deloy. See, also, Affidavit of Warden Deloy regarding Mr. Jackson's request to inspect the SCI kitchen, D.I.181 (Exhibit A). Allowing inmates to attend depositions increases their movement in the prison, raising the likelihood of an escape or disturbance. Plaintiffs' criminal and disciplinary records raise additional security concerns. Some of the Plaintiffs are incarcerated at SCI for committing violent offenses such as First Degree Murder, First Degree Assault, Unlawful Sexual Intercourse, First Degree Robbery, Robbery with Kidnapping, and Escape After Conviction. These violent and dangerous offenders should be segregated, rather than brought together at SCI.
- 9. As noted above, the Warden of SCI has determined that Mr. Jackson and the other named Plaintiffs should not be permitted to attend depositions to which they are not deponents. See Exhibit 1. The United States Supreme Court has held that "[p]rison administrators should be accorded wide-ranging deference in the adoption and execution of policies and practices that in their judgment are needed to preserve internal order and discipline and to maintain institutional security. Such considerations are peculiarly within the province and professional expertise of corrections officials, and, in the absence

3

Page 4 of 8

of substantial evidence in the record to indicate that the officials have exaggerated their response to these considerations, courts should ordinarily defer to their expert judgment in such matters." *Bell v. Wolfish*, 441 U.S. 520, 547-48 (1979).

10. In a prison setting, the presence of contraband and the risk of inmate escape pose significant threats to security. These dangers outweigh any interest Mr. Jackson (or any other Plaintiff) might have in sitting in on depositions. *See Neumeyer v. Beard*, 421 F.3d 210, 214 (3d Cir. 2005) (upholding prison's practice of engaging in suspicionless searches of visitors' vehicles to prevent smuggling of contraband). Thus, Mr. Jackson's request to allow his (or any other Plaintiff's) attendance at depositions must be denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendants respectfully request that this Court deny Plaintiff's *Motion for Leave to be Present at Plaintiffs' Depositions*.

STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Catherine Damavandi
Catherine Damavandi (ID # 3823)
Deputy Attorney General
Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 577-8400

Attorney for State Defendants

DATE: January 3, 2008

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GEORGE A. JACKSON, et al.,	)
Plaintiffs,	) )
v. STANLEY TAYLOR, et al., Defendants.	) C. A. No. 05-823-*** ) JURY TRIAL REQUESTED )
	<u>ORDER</u>
IT IS SO ORDERED, this	day of, 2008, that Plaintiff
George Jackson's Motion for Leave to be	e Present at Plaintiffs' Depositions is hereby
DENIED.	
	Judge

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Document 248

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

GEORGE A. JACKSON, et al.,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	C. A. No. 05-823-***
STANLEY TAYLOR, et al.,	)	JURY TRIAL REQUESTED
Defendants.	)	

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 3, 2008, I electronically filed *Defendants*' Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to be Present at Plaintiffs' Depositions with the Clerk of Court using CM/ECF. I hereby certify that on January 3, 2008, I have mailed by United States Postal Service, the document to the non-registered parties on the attached service list.

### STATE OF DELAWARE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

/s/ Catherine Damavandi Catherine Damavandi (ID # 3823) Deputy Attorney General Delaware Department of Justice 820 N. French Street, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 (302) 577-8400

Attorney for State Defendants

DATE: January 3, 2008

#### List of Non-Registered Parties

George A. Jackson SBI No.: 171250

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Charles Blizzard SBI No.: 166670

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roy R. Williamson SBI No.: 291856

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Carl Walker SBI No.: 173378

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Darwin A. Savage SBI No.: 232561

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Charles B. Sanders SBI No.: 160428

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Timothy L. Malloy SBI No.: 171278

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947 Darus Young SBI No.: 282852

Sussex Correctional Institution

Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Frank Williams SBI.: 261867

Sussex Correctional Institution

P.O. Box 500

Georgetown, DE 19947

Anthony Morris SBI.: 300363

**Delaware Correctional Center** 

1181 Paddock Road Smyrna, DE 19977

Samuel Jones SBI.: 465297

Sussex Correctional Institution

P.O. Box 500

Georgetown, DE 19947

Gilbert Williams SBI.: 137575

Sussex Correctional Institution

P.O. Box 500

Georgetown, DE 19947

Joseph White SBI.: 082985

Sussex Correctional Institution

P.O. Box 500

Georgetown, DE 19947

Howard Parker SBI No.: 165324

Sussex Correctional Institution

P.O. Box 500

Georgetown, DE 19947

Kevin Spivey SBI No.: 258693 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

James Johnson SBI No.: 155123 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roderick Brown SBI No.: 315954 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Roger Thomas SBI No.: 292590 22249 Cubbage Pond Road Lincoln, DE 19960

Lawrence B. Dickens SBI No.: 124570 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Jerome Green SBI No.: 147772 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947 Rique Reynolds SBI No.: 266486 Sussex Correctional Institution P.O. Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

Vernon Truitt SBI No.: 188191 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

José Serpa SBI No.: 350322 Sussex Correctional Institution Post Office Box 500 Georgetown, DE 19947

John F. Davis SBI No.: 263753 SCCC Route #6, P.O. Box 700 Georgetown, DE 19947

Eldon Potts SBI.: 211193 Morris Community Corrections 300 Water Street Dover, DE 19901